

Abstract 70081

*denotes a mandatory field

[Print / Close window](#)

Abstract Information

Abstract Submitter: Doctor Druz Regina - rdruzmd@aol.com

Event: ICNC 9 (Barcelona - Spain)

Status: Accepted

Number: 70081

Title: **Impact of half-time image acquisition with wide-beam reconstruction on the perfusion scores and diagnostic certainty of single-photon emission computed tomography**

Evaluation Topic: 00.28 - Instrumentation, software and image processing

Acronym Abbreviation:

Acronym:

On Behalf of:

Options: No Options

Abstract Authors

R. Druz¹, LM. Phillips², BJ. Rutkin¹, LS. Boutis¹ - (1) North Shore University Hospital, Manhasset, United States of America (2) New York University, Manhattan, United States of America

Abstract Content

89%

Background: Filtered Back Projection (FBP) has been used in SPECT imaging for decades. Half-time acquisition with wide-beam reconstruction (WBR) is a new technology based on resolution recovery, and has not been evaluated clinically.

Objective: To compare perfusion scores and diagnostic certainty of WBR and FBP in consecutive pts. referred for gated SPECT.

Methods: 434 pts. (63+/-13 yrs; 196 F, 238 M) referred for gated SPECT underwent stress Tc-99m sestamibi or tetrofosmin FBP (25 sec/stop) followed by WBR half-time (10 sec/stop) acquisition on a dual-detector 64-stop system (GE Millennium). Images were reconstructed by standard algorithm (QGS, Cedars-Sinai, CA) for FBP and by UltraSPECT (Haifa, Israel) for WBR. Summed stress perfusion scores (SSS) were based on a 5-point/17 segment model (0=normal tracer to 4=absent tracer uptake). Perfusion was considered normal if SSS=0-1, equivocal if SSS=2-3, and abnormal if SSS>/=4. Left ventricular myocardium defect in each of the coronary territory was quantified as %LVLAD, RCA, LCX = SSSLAD, RCA, LCX divided by maximal SSS for all segments in that territory (7 for LAD, max SSSLAD=28; 5 for RCA and LXC, max SSSRCA, LCX=20). Paired t-test and Bland-Altman were used for SSS, %LV, EF. Kappa test was used to compare WBR and FBP for normal, equivocal and abnormal scans and for interobserver agreement. A 2 group t-test was used to compare the magnitude of difference in %LVLAD, RCA, LCX for FBP and WBR discordant and concordant scans.

Results: SSS (4.8 ± 0.4 vs. 4.2 ± 0.4) and EF ($59.4 \pm 0.7\%$ vs. $57.8 \pm 0.6\%$) and % LV LAD (7 ± 0.7 vs. 5.3 ± 0.7) and RCA (9.4 ± 0.9 vs. 7 ± 0.8) were greater for FBP than for WBR ($p < 0.0001$). Interobserver agreement was good ($\kappa = 0.78$), and interscan agreement moderate ($\kappa = 0.49$). WBR and FBP agreed fairly for SSS (95% CI of limits: -4.8 to 3.6), EF (95% CI: -10.1 to 7), and % LV LAD (95% CI: -14 to 11) and poorly for %LV RCA (95% CI: -27 to 22.2), LCX (95% CI: -57 to 57). There were fewer equivocal scans with WBR, likely due to a decrease in anterior attenuation artifact (36 vs. 153 for FBP, $p < 0.0002$).

Conclusion: WBR is a promising new approach that reduces equivocal interpretations and allows half-time acquisition. Angiographic correlation is currently in progress.